

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<i>Page(s)</i>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i> .....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	2
ARGUMENT.....	5
POINT I	
The Court Should Reverse <i>Altmann</i> and Reaffirm That the FSIA Does Not Retro- Actively Apply to Pre-1952 Conduct .....	5
POINT II	
Any Exception to Non-Retroactivity of the FSIA for Pre-1952 Events Should Be Limited to Situations Where the Executive Branch, At The Time, Publicly Declared that Immunity Should Not Be Afforded .....	9
POINT III	
At Most, the Court Should Treat <i>Altmann</i> as a Limited Exception to Non-Retroactivity....	11
CONCLUSION.....	15

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<b>Cases</b>	<i>Page(s)</i>
<i>Alfred Dunhill of London, Inc. v. Republic of Cuba</i> , 425 U.S. 682 (1976) .....	5
<i>Altmann v. Republic of Austria</i> , 317 F.3d 954 (9th Cir. 2002), <i>op. amended</i> , 327 F.3d 1246 (9th Cir. 2003) .... <i>passim</i>	
<i>Carl Marks &amp; Co. v. Union of Soviet Socialist Republics</i> , 665 F. Supp. 323 (S.D.N.Y. 1987), <i>aff'd</i> , 841 F.2d 26 (2d Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 487 U.S. 1219 (1988) .....	6, 13
<i>Compania Espanola De Navegacion Maritima, S.A. v. The Navemar</i> , 303 U.S. 68 (1938) .....	10
<i>Cruz v. United States of Am.</i> , 219 F. Supp. 2d 1027 (N.D. Cal. 2002) .....	1, 6, 13
<i>Cruz v. United States of Am.</i> , Nos. C 02-1942-CRB, C 02-1943-CRB, C 02-1944-CRB, C 01-00892-CRB, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10948 (N.D. Cal. June 20, 2003) .....	1-2, 3, 9
<i>Ex Parte Republic of Peru</i> , 318 U.S. 578 (1943) .....	5, 10
<i>Hughes Aircraft Co. v. United States ex rel. Schumer</i> , 520 U.S. 939 (1997) .....	7
<i>Hwang Geum Joo v. Japan</i> , 332 F.3d 679 (D.C. Cir. 2003) .....	2, 3, 9

	<i>Page(s)</i>
<i>Jackson v. People’s Republic of China</i> , 794 F.2d 1490 (11th Cir. 1986), <i>cert. denied</i> , 480 U.S. 917 (1987) .....	3, 6, 7, 13
<i>Landgraf v. USI Film Prods.</i> , 511 U.S. 244 (1994).....	7
<i>Oliver Am. Trading Co. v. United States of Mexico</i> , 264 U.S. 440 (1924).....	5-6
<i>Schooner Exch. v. McFaddon</i> , 7 Cranch 116, 3 L. Ed. 287 (1812).....	8
<i>Slade v. United States of Mexico</i> , 617 F. Supp. 351 (D.D.C. 1985), <i>aff’d</i> , 790 F.2d 163 (D.C. Cir. 1986).....	3, 6, 13
<i>United States v. Lee</i> , 106 U.S. 196 (1882).....	10
<i>Verlinden B.V. v. Cent. Bank of Nigeria</i> , 461 U.S. 480 (1983).....	<i>passim</i>
 <b>Rules</b>	
Supreme Court Rule 37(3)(a) .....	2